innoglaze™ Framing the future.

ABN 70 657 804 790 | Unit 40, 314 Burleigh Connection Road
Burleigh Heads, Queensland 4220

Public Record Annexures A to C

Public Record – For approval before lodgement

2 November 2026

Prepared by World Customs Consultants Pty Ltd for Luss Corp Pty Ltd trading as Innoglaze

This attachment pack corresponds to confidential Annexures A-C accompanying Innoglaze's response to SEF 691 dated 2 November 2026. It is provided for Dwayne Collins's approval before publication. Each annexure preserves the substance and limitations of the evidence while summarising withheld particulars. Square brackets show omissions or replacement summaries. No concealed original text remains within those markers.

Annexure A [Product-family name withheld]

[Product-family names, model identifiers, source filenames, installation-interface names and proprietary configuration particulars omitted. Summary: Innoglaze supplied a draft description of architectural window and door systems. The description supports a configuration-specific comparison; it does not determine goods coverage by brand or establish that equivalent Australian goods are unavailable.]

[Detailed comparative characteristics withheld. Public summary: A meaningful comparison concerns the combined physical characteristics, operating requirements, glazing, documented performance and installation conditions of the goods. Claims of differentiation require configuration-specific evidence and do not establish that Australian equivalents are unavailable.]

The supplied test evidence applies only to the tested specimen. It does not establish that every model, configuration or proposed size has the same performance. Detailed profiles, machining instructions and system drawings have not been lodged.

Annexure B [Product-family name withheld]

[Product-family and model names, source filenames, distinctive design features and configuration-specific engineering particulars omitted. Summary: Innoglaze supplied a description and engineering material for a specialised sliding-door system. It addresses assessed structural and operating requirements; the full design details are not published.]

[Engineering references, product name and configuration details withheld. Public summary: The engineering material concerns assessed configurations and is not laboratory certification of every production revision or installation. The current design differs from a historical tested specimen. Historical results must not be presented as certification of the redesigned system or its intended performance. Full calculations, reinforcement details, die schedules and profile geometry are not attached.]

Annexure C Public laboratory evidence summary

[Laboratory and supplier identities, report identifiers, test dates, product names, model mappings, specimen dimensions, detailed glazing arrangements and numerical performance results omitted. Summary: Innoglaze supplied two independent laboratory reports. This is a factual summary, not a reproduction or independent certification.]

[Laboratory name, report identifier, date, model, specimen dimensions and glazing particulars withheld. Public summary: One laboratory report concerns a sliding-door specimen within the published complete-door dimensional limits. It records testing to SNZ TS 4211:2022 using AS/NZS 4420.1 procedures and reports passes for the listed structural, operating-force, air, water, ultimate-strength and torsion tests. Results apply only to that specimen and are not whole-range AS 2047 certification.]

[Laboratory name, report identifier, date, model, specimen dimensions, glazing particulars and numerical results withheld. Public summary: The other laboratory report concerns AS 2047 testing of a historical sliding-door specimen exceeding the published complete-door height limit. Its results include differing serviceability and ultimate classifications and water performance at different test pressures. Those results apply only to that specimen and do not establish performance of the current redesign or other configurations. Laboratory and supplier identities, report identifiers, test dates, specimen dimensions, glazing particulars and numerical performance results are withheld; the originals remain unaltered and are not attached.]

The oversized specimen does not establish a blanket brand exclusion or the treatment of separately supplied frames, panels or sashes. A separate engineering assessment must not be presented as laboratory certification. Targeted confidential verification may be sought, subject to reproduction restrictions and confidentiality safeguards.