APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF A DECISION BY THE MINISTER FOLLOWING A REVIEW INQUIRY

Anti-Dumping Review Panel

c/o Legal Services Branch Department of Industry and Science 10 Binara Street Canberra City ACT 2601

P: +61 2 6276 1781 F: +61 2 6213 6821

E: ADRP@industry.gov.au

INFORMATION FOR APPLICANTS

WHAT DECISIONS ARE REVIEWABLE BY THE ANTI-DUMPING REVIEW PANEL?

The role of the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (the ADRP) is to review certain decisions made by the Minister responsible for the Department of Industry and Science, or by the Anti-Dumping Commissioner (the Commissioner).

The ADRP may review decisions made by the Commissioner:

- to reject an application for dumping or countervailing measures;
- to terminate an investigation into an application for dumping or countervailing measures;
- to reject or terminate examination of an application for duty assessment; and
- to recommend to the Minister the refund of an amount of interim duty less than the amount contended in an application for duty assessment, or waiver of an amount over the amount of interim duty paid.

The ADRP may review decisions made by the Minister, as follows:

Investigations

- to publish a dumping duty notice
- to publish a countervailing duty notice
- not to publish a dumping duty notice
- not to publish a countervailing duty notice

Review inquiries

- to alter or revoke a dumping duty notice following a review inquiry
- to alter or revoke a countervailing duty notice following a review inquiry
- not to alter a dumping duty notice following a review inquiry
- not to alter a countervailing duty notice following a review inquiry
- that the terms of an undertaking are to remain unaltered
- that the terms of an undertaking are to be varied
- that an investigation is to be resumed
- that a person is to be released from the terms of an undertaking.

Continuation inquiries

- to secure the continuation of dumping measures following a continuation inquiry
- to secure the continuation of countervailing measures following a continuation inquiry
- not to secure the continuation of dumping measures following a continuation inquiry

not to secure the continuation of countervailing measures following a continuation inquiry

Anti-circumvention inquiries

- to alter a dumping duty notice following an anti-circumvention inquiry
- to alter a countervailing duty notice following an anti-circumvention inquiry
- not to alter a dumping duty notice following an anti-circumvention inquiry, and
- not to alter a countervailing duty notice following an anti-circumvention inquiry.

Before making a recommendation to the Minister, the ADRP may require the Commissioner to:

- reinvestigate a specific finding or findings that formed the basis of the reviewable decision, and
- report the result of the reinvestigation to the ADRP within a specified time period.

The ADRP only has the power to make **recommendations to** the Minister to affirm the reviewable decision or to revoke the reviewable decision and substitute with a new decision. The ADRP has no power to revoke the Minister's decision or substitute another decision for the Minister's decision.

WHICH APPLICATION FORM SHOULD BE USED?

It is essential that applications for review be lodged in accordance with the requirements of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act). The ADRP does not have any discretion to accept an invalidly made application or late-lodged application.

Division 9 of Part XVB of the Act deals with reviews by the ADRP. Intending applicants should familiarise themselves with the relevant sections of the Act, and should also examine the explanatory brochure (available at www.adreviewpanel.gov.au).

There are separate application forms for each category of reviewable decision made by the Commissioner, and for decisions made by the Minister. It is important for intending applicants to ensure that they use the correct form.

This is the form to be used when applying for an ADRP review of a decision of the Minister under s 269ZDB, following a review inquiry. It is approved by the Commissioner pursuant to s.269ZY of the Act.

WHO MAY APPLY FOR REVIEW OF A DECISION FOLLOWING A REVIEW INQUIRY?

Any interested party may lodge an application for review to the ADRP of a review of a ministerial decision. An "interested party" may be:

- if an application was made which led to the reviewable decision, the applicant
- a person representing the industry, or a portion of the industry, which produces the goods which are the subject of the reviewable decision
- a person directly concerned with the importation or exportation to Australia of the goods
- a person directly concerned with the production or manufacture of the goods
- a trade association, the majority of whose members are directly concerned with the production or manufacture, or the import or export of the goods to Australia, or
- the government of the country of origin or of export of the subject goods.

Intending applicants should refer to the definition of "interested party" in s 269ZX of the Act to establish whether they are eligible to apply.

WHEN MUST AN APPLICATION BE LODGED?

An application for a review must be received within 30 days after a public notice of the reviewable decision is first published in a national Australian newspaper (s 269ZZD).

The application is taken as being made on the date upon which it is received by the ADRP after it has been properly made in accordance with the instructions under 'Where and how should the application be made?' (below).

WHAT INFORMATION MUST AN APPLICATION CONTAIN?

An application should clearly and comprehensively set out the grounds on which the review is sought, and provide sufficient particulars to satisfy the ADRP that the Minister's decision should be reviewed. It is not sufficient simply to request that a decision be reviewed.

The application should include a statement identifying what the applicant considers the correct or preferable decision should be, that may result from the grounds the applicant has raised in the application. There may be more than one such correct or preferable decision that should be identified, depending on the grounds that have been raised.

The application must contain a full description of the goods to which the application relates and a statement setting out the applicant's reasons for believing that the reviewable decision is not the correct or preferable decision (s 269ZZE).

If an application contains information which is confidential, or if publication of information contained in the application would adversely affect a person's business or commercial interest, the application <u>will</u> be rejected by the ADRP <u>unless</u> an appropriate summary statement has been prepared and accompanies the application.

If the applicant seeks to bring confidential information to the ADRP's attention (either in their application or subsequently), the applicant must prepare a summary statement which contains sufficient detail to allow the ADRP to reasonably understand the substance of the information, but the summary must not breach the confidentiality or adversely affect a person's business or commercial interest (s 269ZZY).

While both the confidential information and the summary statement must be provided to the ADRP, only the summary statement will be lodged on the public record maintained by the ADRP (s 269ZZX). The ADRP is obliged to maintain a public record for review of decisions made by the Minister, and for termination decisions of the Commissioner. The public record contains a copy of any application for review of a termination decision made to the ADRP, as well as any information given to the ADRP after an application has been made. Information contained in the public record is accessible to interested parties upon request.

Documents containing confidential information should be clearly marked "Confidential" and documents containing the summary statement of that confidential information should be clearly marked "Non-confidential public record version", or similar.

The ADRP does not have any investigative function, and <u>must</u> take account only of information which was before the Minister when the Minister made the reviewable decision (s 269ZZ). The ADRP will disregard any information in applications and submissions that was not available to the Minister.

HOW LONG WILL THE REVIEW TAKE?

The timeframes for a review by the ADRP will be dependent on whether the ADRP requests the Commissioner to reinvestigate specific findings or findings that formed the basis of the reviewable decision.

If reinvestigation is not required

Unless the ADRP requests the Commissioner to reinvestigate a specific finding or findings, the ADRP must make a report to the Minister:

- at least 30 days after the public notification of the review
- but no later than 60 days after that notification.

In special circumstances the Minister may allow the Review Panel a longer period for completion of the review (s 269ZZK(3)).

If reinvestigation is required

If the ADRP requests the Commissioner to reinvestigate a specific findings or findings, the Commissioner must report the results of the reinvestigation to the ADRP within a specified period.

Upon receipt of the Commissioner's reinvestigation report, the ADRP must make a report to the Minister within 30 days.

WHAT WILL BE THE OUTCOME OF THE REVIEW?

At the conclusion of a review, the ADRP must make a report to the Minister, recommending that the:

Minister affirm the reviewable decision (s 269ZZK(1)(a)), or Minister revoke the reviewable decision and substitute a specified new decision (s 269ZZK(1)(b)).

After receiving the report from the ADRP the Minister must:

affirm his/her original decision, or

revoke his/her original decision and substitute a new decision.

The Minister has 30 days to make a decision after receiving the ADRP's report, unless there are special circumstances which prevent the decision being made within that period. The Minister must publish a notice if a longer period for making a decision is required (s 269ZZM).

WHERE AND HOW SHOULD THE APPLICATION BE MADE?

Applications must be EITHER:

- lodged with, or mailed by prepaid post to:

Anti-Dumping Review Panel c/o Legal Services Branch Department of Industry and Science 10 Binara Street Canberra City ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA

OR emailed to:

ADRP@industry.gov.au

OR sent by facsimile to:

Anti-Dumping Review Panel c/o Legal Services Branch +61 2 6213 6821

WHERE CAN FURTHER INFORMATION BE OBTAINED?

Further information about **reviews by the ADRP** can be obtained at the ADRP website (<u>www.adreviewpanel.gov.au</u>) or from:

Anti-Dumping Review Panel c/o Legal Services Branch Department of Industry and Science 10 Binara Street Canberra City ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA

Telephone: +61 2 6276 1781 Facsimile: +61 2 6213 6821

Inquiries and requests for **general information about dumping matters** should be directed to:

Anti-Dumping Commission
Department of Industry and Science
Ground Floor Customs House
1010 Latrobe Street
MELBOURNE 3008

Telephone: 1300 884 159 Facsimile: 1300 882 506

Email: clientsupport@adcommission.gov.au

FALSE OR MISLEADING INFORMATION

It is an offence for a person to give the ADRP written information that the person knows to be false or misleading in a material particular.

(Penalty: 20 penalty units – this equates to \$3400).

PRIVACY STATEMENT

The collection of this information is authorised under section 269ZZE of the *Customs Act 1901*. The information is collected to enable the ADRP to assess your application for the review of a decision of the Minister under s 269ZDB of the *Customs Act 1901* following a review inquiry.

APPLICATION FOR REVIEW OF A DECISION OF THE MINISTER FOLLOWING A REVIEW INQUIRY

Under s 269ZZE of the *Customs Act 1901* (Cth), I hereby request that the Anti-Dumping Review Panel reviews a decision by the Minister responsible for Australian Customs and Border Protection Service:

OR	a dumping duty notice(s) following a review inquiry a countervailing duty notice(s) following a review inquiry.
To revoke:	a dumping duty notice(s) following a review inquiry, and/or a countervailing duty notice(s) following a review inquiry.
OR	
Not to alter:	a dumping duty notice(s) following a review inquiry, and/or a countervailing duty notice(s) following a review inquiry.
	that the terms of an undertaking are to remain unaltered that the terms of an undertaking are to be varied that an investigation is to be resumed that a person is to be released from the terms of an undertaking

in respect of the goods which are the subject of this application.

I believe that the information contained in the application:

- provides reasonable grounds for a review to be undertaken
- provides reasonable grounds for the decision not being the correct or preferable decision, and
- is complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

I have included the following information in an attachment to this application:

- ✓ Name, street and postal address, and form of business of the applicant (for example, company, partnership, sole trader).
- ✓ Name, title/position, telephone and facsimile numbers and e-mail address of a contact within the organisation.
- ✓ Name of consultant/adviser (if any) representing the applicant and a copy of the authorisation for the consultant/adviser.
- ✓ Full description of the imported goods to which the application relates.
- ✓ The tariff classification/statistical code of the imported goods.

- ✓ A copy of the reviewable decision.
- ✓ Date of notification of the reviewable decision and the method of the notification.
- ✓ A detailed statement setting out the applicant's reasons for believing that the reviewable decision is not the correct or preferable decision.
- ✓ A statement identifying what the applicant considers the correct or preferable decision should be, that may result from the grounds the applicant has raised in the application. There may be more than one such correct or preferable decision that should be identified, depending on the grounds that have been raised.
- ✓ [If the application contains material that is confidential or commercially sensitive] an additional non-confidential version, containing sufficient detail to give other interested parties a clear and reasonable understanding of the information being put forward.

Please refer to the Attachments to this application

Signature:	1m
Name:	Charles Zhan
Position:	Senior Lawyer, Moulis Legal
Applicant Company/Entity:	Zhejiang Yueling Co Ltd
Date	23 November 2015