

ADRP Conference Summary

Review No. 175 – Aluminium Extrusions exported from the People's Republic of China

Panel Member	Jaclyne Fisher OAM
Review type	Review of Minister's decision
Date	19 November 2025
Participants	Press Metal Aluminium (Australia) Pty Ltd (PMAA), represented by Percival Legal: • Andrew Percival, Principal
Time opened	1:00pm AEDT
Time closed	1.15pm AEDT

The Review Panel opened the conference with an Acknowledgment of Country.

The purpose of this conference was to obtain further information in relation to the application before the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (Review Panel) in relation to aluminium extrusions from China.

The conference was held pursuant to section 269ZZHA of the Customs Act 1901 (the Act).

In the course of the conference, I was able to ask parties to clarify any argument, claim or specific detail contained in their application. The conference was not a formal hearing of the review, and was not an opportunity for parties to argue their case before me.

In accordance with section 269ZZHA(2), in making a recommendation under subsection 269ZZK(1), I may have regard to:

- a. further information provided at this conference to the extent that it relates to "relevant information" within the meaning of section 269ZZK(6) of the Act;
- b. any conclusions reached at this conference based on "that relevant information".

At the time of the conference, I advised the participants:

- That the conference was being recorded and transcribed by Microsoft Teams, and that the recording would capture everything said during the conference.
- That the conference was being recorded for the Review Panel to have regard to when preparing a conference summary. The conference summary would then be published on the Review Panel's website.



- That beyond the recording by Microsoft Teams, the Panel does not provide consent for any other party participating in this conference to make a recording of all or any part of the conference.
- Any confidential information discussed during the conference would be redacted from the conference summary prior to publication.
- Any further information obtained at this conference, which the Review Panel may rely
 upon in making its recommendation, will be reflected in the conference summary
 subject to confidentiality requirements.

Prior to the conference, participants were provided with a copy of the Review Panel's Privacy Statement. The Privacy Statement outlines to whom the conference recording and transcript may be disclosed. The Privacy Statement is available on the Review Panel's website here. The participants indicated that they understood the Privacy Statement and consented to:

- The recording of the conference; and
- The recording being dealt with as set out in the Privacy Statement.

Further information requested

The purpose of this conference was to clarify the precise grounds put forward in the PMAA's application. Particularly, the Review Panel notes that Question 9 of the Application form requires the applicant to provide a short, clear statement of each ground. To ensure the Review Panel accurately reflects the grounds incorporated in PMAA's application, the Review Panel asked PMAA to confirm whether the three grounds below provide a clear and short statement for each of its three grounds. PMAA provided amendments which are included in the following:

- Ground One: There was no information, or insufficient information supported by
 evidence, that dumping by PMI had occurred or would be likely to continue or recur if
 the anti-dumping duties expired.
- Ground Two: The Minister's determination of the normal value was incorrect, which
 impacted on the alteration of the variable factors and the assessment of the dumping
 margin.
- **Ground Three:** There was no information, or insufficient information supported by evidence, that the expiry of anti-dumping measures would lead to, or be likely to lead

PUBLIC



to, the continuation or recurrence of the material injury that the measures are intended to prevent.

The Review Panel indicated that a conference summary would be provided within one working day and requested that PMAA confirm as quickly as possible and within one working day that the conference summary reflects an accurate summary and identify any confidential information in yellow font that will require redaction from the non-confidential conference summary to be published on the public file.

PMAA's representative confirmed that the draft conference summary provided by the Review Panel is an accurate summary of the conference between Panel Member Ms. Jaclyne Fisher OAM and PMAA and that the three summary statements included in the draft conference summary provide clear and concise statements of each of those three grounds in PMAA's application for a review of the Minister's decision in Continuation Inquiry 657. No confidential information was identified.