



ADRP Conference Summary

Review No. 138 – A4 Copy Paper exported from the Federative Republic of Brazil, the People’s Republic of China, the Republic of Indonesia (except by PT Indah Kiat Pulp & Paper Tbk, PT Pabrik Kertas Tjiwi Kimia Tbk and PT Pindo Deli Pulp & Paper Mills) and the Kingdom of Thailand

Panel Member	Jaci Fisher
Review type	Review of Minister’s decision
Date	8 October 2021
Participants	Justin Wickes (ADC representative) Evan Schnell (ADC representative) Adam Hourigan (ADC representative)
Time opened	12.00pm AEDST
Time closed	12.48pm AEDST

Purpose

The purpose of this conference was to obtain further information in relation to the application before the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (Review Panel) in relation to A4 Copy Paper exported from the Federative Republic of Brazil, the People’s Republic of China, the Republic of Indonesia (Indonesia) and the Kingdom of Thailand.

The conference was held pursuant to section 269ZZHA of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act).

In the course of the conference, I was able to ask parties to clarify any argument, claim or specific detail contained in their application or submission. The conference was not a formal hearing of the review, and was not an opportunity for parties to argue their case before me.

I have only had regard to information provided at this conference to the extent that it relates to relevant information within the meaning of section 269ZZK of the Act. Any conclusions reached at this conference are based on that relevant information. Information that relates to some new argument not previously put in an application or submission is not something that the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (Review Panel) may have regard to and, therefore, is not reflected in this conference summary.

At the time of the conference, I advised the participants:



Australian Government

Anti-Dumping Review Panel

- That the conference was being recorded and transcribed by Express Virtual Meetings Pty Ltd, and that the recording would capture everything said during the conference.
- That the conference was being recorded for the Review Panel to have regard to when preparing a conference summary. The conference summary would then be published on the Review Panel's website.
- Any confidential information discussed during the conference would be redacted from the conference summary prior to publication.

Prior to the conference, participants were provided with a copy of the Review Panel's Privacy Statement. The Privacy Statement outlines who the conference recording and transcript may be disclosed to. The Privacy Statement is available on the Review Panel's website [here](#). The participants indicated that they understood the Privacy Statement and consented to:

- The recording of the conference; and
- The recording being dealt with as set out in the Privacy Statement.

Discussion

The specific information that the Review Panel sought in this conference relates to Ground One in the joint application from APRIL Far East (Malaysia) Sdn. Bhd. (AFEM) and PT Riau Andalan Kertas (RAK), and is referred to as the APRIL application.

1. Could the ADC prepare export pricing calculations using the information provided by APRIL following the conference on 22 September 2021. This related to [REDACTED] transactions. The Review Panel provided instructions on the calculation required. This related to deducting from the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] shown on the invoices provided by APRIL.

The ADC confirmed that it had received information regarding [REDACTED] transactions during verification but did not have access to the remainder of this information.

The Review Panel indicated the information provided by APRIL to the Review Panel would be provided by the Review Panel Secretariat to the ADC.

The Review Panel referred to the APRIL confidential submission to the ADC dated 15 April 2021 (page 8) regarding the price between RAK and AFEM. This links to the information provided by APRIL (at the conference 22 September 2021) regarding the



Australian Government

Anti-Dumping Review Panel

elements and calculation [REDACTED] There was reference to a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] that was also included in the [REDACTED] which had not been [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (Confidential export pricing information [REDACTED]). The Review Panel sought clarification regarding whether the details of the [REDACTED] had been part of the verification undertaken by the ADC.

The ADC advised that limited information was able to be verified regarding the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] shown on the invoices referred to above though there had been requests to and responses from APRIL regarding the pricing arrangements between RAK and AFEM.

The ADC undertook to provide the export pricing calculation as quickly as possible using the information supplied by APRIL.

The Review Panel requested that the ADC provide advice as to whether this export pricing calculation had an impact on any other calculations. A further conference would be scheduled if required.

I requested that the ADC provide the following information following the conference:

- Export pricing calculations as per the Review Panel Member request.
- Any impacts on other calculations to be identified by the ADC and a further conference organised if required.

The Review Panel advised that the draft summary of this conference would be provided to the ADC within one working day, and it would be appreciated if any comments regarding the accuracy and confidentiality could be provided to the Review Panel Secretariat within two working days.