



ADRP Conference Summary

Review Nos. 120 & 121 – Hot Rolled Structural Steel Sections

Panel Member	Jaclyne Fisher
Review type	Review of Minister's decision
Date	17 September 2020
Participants	Anti-Dumping Commission: Rhys Piper, Roman Maevsky, Vikki King and Evan Schnell; James English, Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources.
Time opened	12:00pm AEST
Time closed	12.30pm AEST

Purpose

The purpose of this conference was to obtain further information in relation to Review Numbers' 120 and 121 before the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (Review Panel) in relation to Hot Rolled Structural Steel Sections exported from Japan, Korea, Taiwan and Thailand.

The conference was held pursuant to section 269ZZHA of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act).

In the course of the conference, I was able to ask parties to clarify any argument, claim or specific detail contained in their application or submission. The conference was not a formal hearing of the review, and was not an opportunity for parties to argue their case before me.

I have only had regard to information provided at this conference to the extent that it relates to relevant information within the meaning of section 269ZZK of the Act. Any conclusions reached at this conference are based on that relevant information. Information that relates to some new argument not previously put in an application or submission is not something that the Review Panel may have regard to and, therefore, is not reflected in this conference summary.

At the time of the conference, I advised the participants:

- That the conference was being recorded and transcribed by Express Virtual Meetings Pty Ltd, and that the recording would capture everything said during the conference.
- That the conference was being recorded for the Review Panel to have regard to when preparing a conference summary. The conference summary would then be published on the Review Panel's website.



Australian Government

Anti-Dumping Review Panel

- Any confidential information discussed during the conference would be redacted from the conference summary prior to publication.

Prior to the conference, participants were provided with a copy of the Review Panel's Privacy Statement. The Privacy Statement outlines who the conference recording and transcript may be disclosed to. The Privacy Statement is available on the Review Panel's website [here](#). The participants indicated that they understood the Privacy Statement and consented to:

- The recording of the conference; and
- The recording being dealt with as set out in the Privacy Statement.

Discussion

The specific information sought in this conference related to the ADC outlining that it had published a preliminary reinvestigation report in relation to this matter and received a number of submissions. Given certain circumstances the ADC will be seeking a further extension in relation to this matter to ensure that it provides procedural fairness.

The ADC will be writing to the Review Panel in the next week or so seeking an extension of time for the provision of the reinvestigation report in relation to Review 121.