



ADRP Conference Summary

2019/100 Wind Towers exported from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea

Panel Member	Senior Member Joan Fitzhenry
Review type	Review of a Minister's decision made under subsection 269ZHG(1) of the <i>Customs Act 1901</i>
Date	23 December 2019
Participants	Paul Sexton, Carl Halpin, Nathan Smith and Savita Kapoor (Anti-Dumping Commission)
Time opened	10:00am AEDT
Time closed	10.11am AEDT

Purpose

The purpose of this conference was to obtain further information in respect of the reinvestigation being conducted in relation to Wind Towers exported from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea.

The conference was held pursuant to section 269ZZHA of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act).

The conference was not a formal hearing of the review, and was not an opportunity for parties to argue their case before me.

I have only had regard to information provided at this conference as it relates to relevant information (within the meaning of section 269ZZK(6) of the *Customs Act 1901*). Any conclusions reached at this conference are based on that relevant information. Information that relates to some new argument not previously put in an application or submission is not something that the ADRP has regard to, and is therefore not reflected in this conference summary.

Discussion

The ADC representatives provided an update to the ADRP on the reinvestigation being conducted pursuant to the request from the ADRP by letter dated 4 July 2019. The ADC advised that:

- As the ADC proposed to change the approach to the ascertainment of the normal value, a further draft reinvestigation report would be issued;



Australian Government

Anti-Dumping Review Panel

- Interested parties would be given an opportunity to comment and a 14-day timeline for submissions was proposed; and
- The ADC still expected to provide the reinvestigation report by 4 February 2020.

The ADC representatives also advised that they had considered a number of approaches to how adjustments under s.269TAC(8) should be made and interested parties would be given the opportunity to comment on the ADC's preferred approach.