



ADRP Conference Summary

2018/94 Zinc Coated Galvanised Steel exported from the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan

Panel Member	Scott Ellis
Review type	Review of a Ministerial decision
Date	18 October 2018
Participants	Rhys Piper and Roman Maevsky (Anti-Dumping Commission)
Time opened	11.00am AEST

Purpose

The purpose of this conference was to obtain further information in relation to the review before the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (Review Panel) in relation to Zinc Coated Galvanised Steel exported from the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Korea and Taiwan.

The conference was held pursuant to s 269ZZHA of the *Customs Act 1901*.

In the course of the conference, the parties may have been asked to clarify an argument, claim or specific detail contained in the EPR. The conference was not a formal hearing of the review and was not an opportunity for parties to argue their case before me.

The Review Panel may only have regard to information provided at this conference in so far as it relates to relevant information within the meaning of section 269ZZK(6) of the *Customs Act 1901*) and to any conclusions reached at the conference to the extent that they are based on that relevant information. Any conclusions reached at the conference are based on that relevant information. Information that relates to some new argument not previously put in an application or submission is not something that the Review Panel has regard to and is therefore not reflected in this conference summary.

Record of Discussion

The specific information that the Review Panel sought in this conference was:

- The reviews undertaken by the ADRP in relation to exports by Yieh Phui Enterprise Co. Ltd (Yieh Phui), which had not been specified in CITIC's application;



Australian Government

Anti-Dumping Review Panel

- The prices at which Dongbu exported to Australia and their relation to the prices of other exports (as adverted to in section 3 of the Dongbu application for review); and
- Confidential attachments 1 and 4 to the Report.

Mr Piper indicated that there were a number of single exporter reviews, which included Yieh Phui, which were dealt with together in a combined report 'REP 365 et al'. Yieh Phui was also involved in a circumvention inquiry.

Mr Piper indicated that the continuation inquiry did not consider sales of Colorbond/use of materials in the manufacture of Colorbond by BlueScope. The inquiry was confined to the impact of the non-continuation of the measures on the goods, not Colorbond.

Mr Piper indicated that the description of the prices of Dongbu in the SEF was incorrect. The wrong word was used. Instead of 'highest', 'lowest' should have been used. This was confirmed by an examination of confidential attachment 1 to REP 449 – 450.

The representatives of the ADC discussed various worksheets in confidential attachments 1 and 4 to the Report.