



Anti-Dumping Review Panel Conference Summary

2016/53 A4 Copy Paper exported from the Federative Republic of Brazil, the People's Republic of China, the Republic of Indonesia and the Kingdom of Thailand

Panel Member	Scott Ellis
Date	Friday 5 May 2017
Participants	John O'Connor (authorized representative/consultant for Australian paper) Matt Decarne (Australian Paper) Peter Williams (Australian Paper)

Mr Ellis confirmed that, in light of the extensive record in relation to investigation 341, he has convened the conference to enable the applicant to identify the documents it considered relevant to the application for review. A similar conference is to be conducted involving the Commission.

Prior to the conference, the applicant had provided a list of the documents it considered relevant.

The applicant, indicated, by way of background, that it was not clear why the dumping margin for Tjiwi Kiwia should be so different from the dumping margin for the other entities in the group of companies associated with Tjiwi Kiwia.

In relation to ground 10.1, the applicant relied upon the US Department of Commerce investigation and indicated that a similar approach should be taken in Australia. The applicant was unable to point to any documents which showed a transfer of funds in connection with the alleged subsidy program the subject of ground 10.1.

In relation to ground 10.1, export program 3, debt forgiveness, the applicant contended that the Commission should have adopted the same recommended period for amortisation as the USDOC.

In relation to ground 3, the applicant noted that Tjiwi Kimia was one of three companies forming part of the SMG group, Pindo Deli, Indah Kiat and Tjiwi Kimia. Given the limited information available to the applicant, the applicant was unable to identify specific documents underlying the use of recycled pulp, other than the documents identified in the report. The applicant suggested that the RISI and Hawkins Wright data were relevant sources for recycled pulp pricing data. The applicant contended that the Commission should have treated the price of recycled pulp as having been suppressed by the Government of Indonesia's ban on export of timber.

The applicant indicated that the list of relevant documents would be amended and provided to the Panel. A copy of the amended document is attached.