

PARTNERS:

CHIN YOONG CHONG
KOK CHEE KHEONG
WONG CHEE LIN
TO' PUAN JANET L.H. LOOI
CHARMAYNE ONG POH YIN
KHOO GUAN HUAT
MUBASHIR BIN MANSOR
CHENG KEE CHECK
IVAN Y. F. LOO
PHUA PAO YII
SIVA KUMAR KANAGASABAI
LIM KOON HUAN

ASHOK KUMAR MAHADEV RANAI

SELVAMALAR ALAGARATNAM
PREETHA PILLAI
CLAUDIA CHEAH PEK YEE
LOO PEH FERN
LEELA DEVI BASKARAN
VIJAY RAJ
KUEK PEI YEE
TEH HONG KOON
RICHARD KHOO
FARIZ ABDUL AZIZ
JILLIAN CHIA YAN PING
SHARON CHONG TZE YING

TREVOR JASON MARK PADASIAN

RATHA GOVINDASAMY
SHANNON RAJAN
HEMALATHA PARASA RAMULU
JOCELYN LIM YEAN TSE
SHEBA GUMIS
FOO SIEW LI
TAN SHI WEN
LEE AI HSIAN
LOSHINI RAMARMUTY
NATALIE LIM
NIMALAN A/L DEVARAJA
GRACE TEOH WEI SHAN

JESY OOI PEI GAIK

SHARIFAH SHAFIKA ALSAGOFF
HAFIDAH AMAN HASHIM
JOSHUA TEOH BENI CHRIS
SARA LAU DER YIN
MELISSA LONG

CONSULTANTS:

TAN SRI DATO' V.C. GEORGE
CHEN KAH LENG
LEE TATT BOON
THERESA CHONG

Our Senior Associates and
Associates are listed on our website

SKRINE Advocates
& Solicitors

DATE : 2 December 2022

By Email

OUR REF : MSJ/22216836

YOUR REF : Inquiry No. 606

OUR EXT : 903

YOUR FAX :

OUR EMAIL : manshan.singh@skrine.com

YOUR EMAIL : investigations3@adcommission.gov.au

PUBLIC RECORD
NON-CONFIDENTIAL

The Director

Investigations 3
Anti-Dumping Commission
GPO Box 2013
Canberra ACT 2601
AUSTRALIA

Dear Sirs,

**RE : ANTI-CIRCUMVENTION INQUIRY NO. 606 – CERTAIN CONCRETE UNDERLAY FILM
EXPORTED TO AUSTRALIA FROM MALAYSIA:
- UNISTAR INDUSTRIES SDN BHD'S RESPONSE TO THE STATEMENT OF ESSENTIAL
FACTS DATED 15 NOVEMBER 2022**

1. This response is issued on behalf of Unistar Industries Sdn Bhd (“**our client**”). We refer to the present inquiry by the Australian Anti-Dumping Commission (“**ADC**”) in relation to concrete underlay film with a width outside of 2 – 6 metres and to the Statement of Essential Facts dated 15 November 2022 (“**SEF**”).
2. In our letter dated 21 August 2022 issued on behalf of our client to the ADC (“**earlier letter**”), our client expressly denied all allegations and/or suggestions made by LCM General Products Pty Ltd (trading as Cromford Film) (“**Cromford**”) in its application for the present inquiry, whereby Cromford suggested and/or alleged that our client had exported circumvention/modified goods to Australia. In our earlier letter, our client had further stated that:
 - (a) At all material times, our client never participated in any circumvention activities. Our client asserted, and has always asserted that, it is a genuine *bona fide* exporter that has fully cooperated with the ADC in the investigation process of the original anti-dumping investigation;

Member

LexMundi
World Ready



SKRINE

- (b) Following the initiation of the present inquiry, our client had voluntarily submitted an exporter's questionnaire response in order to assist the ADC, notwithstanding the cost, effort and time required to prepare an exporter's questionnaire response;
 - (c) Our client only exported and has only exported concrete underlay films with a width of 2 – 6 metres to Australia; and
 - (d) The inaccurate suggestions and/or allegations made by Cromford pertaining to our client were extremely concerning.
3. Our client notes that no findings were made in the SEF on the issues outlined in paragraph 2 above, and in our earlier letter.
 4. Our client hopes that the ADC would include the relevant and necessary findings in the final report and/or notice which would ultimately indicate that the allegations and/or suggestions made by Cromford against our client were inaccurate and unfounded.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,



Manshan Singh

C.c. Unistar Industries Sdn Bhd