



Reinvestigation of certain findings in relation to Continuation Inquiry No. 591 relating to certain aluminium extrusions exported from Malaysia and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam

Meeting with interested party

Date	10 November 2022
Location	Anti-Dumping Commission office
Interested Party	Capral Limited

Capral Limited (Capral) requested to meet with the Anti-Dumping Commission (commission) to discuss aspects of the reinvestigation of certain findings in continuation inquiry 591 (case 591). On 10 November 2022, commission staff met with Capral at the commission's premises in Spring Street, Melbourne. Prior to the meeting, the commission advised Capral that its understanding was that the purpose of the meeting was to discuss the process and timing of the reinvestigation, rather than any discussion of the issues subject to reinvestigation.

Subsequent to agreeing to meet with Capral, the commission received a submission from Capral on 8 November 2022 in relation to the reinvestigation. This submission is published on the commission's electronic public record (EPR).¹

In the meeting, Capral sought information about when the preliminary reinvestigation report (PRR) would be published, noting it was not specified in the recently published File Note² on the EPR. The commission advised that the exact timing of the PRR could not be confirmed as it depends on how the reinvestigation progresses, potential extension requests to the Anti-Dumping Review Panel (ADRP) to provide the reinvestigation report and the impact of the Christmas/New Year holiday period.

The commission confirmed it had received Capral's submission but had not yet considered it. The commission advised that a decision about if and how to consider additional or new evidence in a reinvestigation is a decision that is made on a case-by-case basis. The parameters of the reinvestigation request informs this decision. For example, and based on the particular circumstances of the case, the Commissioner may request additional evidence in some reinvestigations but not in others. The commission confirmed that submissions received and the PRR will be published on the commission's EPR for case 591.

¹ Document no. 40, EPR 591.

² Document no. 39, EPR 591.

Capral stated that further engagement between the commission and Capral during the course of case 591 would have been helpful. In Capral's view, this would have assisted with an understanding of the market dynamics and Capral's claims.

In its submission³ in response to the Statement of Essential Facts in case 591, Capral stated that it provided evidence of sales lost to imports from Malaysia and/or Vietnam. In the meeting, Capral reiterated that gathering competitor pricing intelligence from customers and accessing import pricing information for Australian industry members was difficult. Capral stated that they intended to shortly make a further submission to the reinvestigation.

Capral sought information about the reinvestigation process and the administrative steps following completion of the reinvestigation. The commission provided a brief overview of the reinvestigation process and noted the reinvestigation report is provided to the ADRP for the Member's consideration.

³ Document no. 32, EPR 591.