



PT MULIAGLASS
FLOAT DIVISION

To : Cameron Kennedy
Case Manager Investigations 3
Anti Dumping Commission

2nd Submission : ADN 29210010 Inquiry No. 575

Dear Sir,

PT Muliaglass would like to make a further submission in the above case and respectfully asks if the following matters were considered when the decision was made by the Commissioner in deciding not to reject the application by Oceania Glass.

- Oceania Glass enjoy a monopoly in the manufacture of CFG in Australia but do not have the capacity to service the Australian market therefore imports are essential to maintain the numerous other operators in the CFG processing business, confirmation that Oceania cannot meet the CFG market can easily be demonstrated in the numerous requests we received in 2020 for supply from Oceania and in fact we can also demonstrate they cannot even service the CFG requirements of their sister company Viridian Australia or Viridian New Zealand.
- While the new owners Capital Crescent Partners have separated the upstream CFG manufacturing (Oceania) from the downstream Glass Processing (Viridian) any positive dumping impositions will strengthen and benefit both organizations and further strengthen the monopoly of Oceania to the detriment of both the numerous other operators in the CFG Processing Businesses and the Consumer.
- Since the last continuation review in 2016 the volume of exports from Muliaglass have continued to decline due to our inability to compete in pricing with either the domestic manufacturer Oceania or the exporters from both Malaysia and the United Arab Emirates against whom no Anti-Dumping applies, if required we can provide evidence to this fact.

Cikarang, 25th March 2021


P.T. MULIAGLASS

Johan Dharmawan
Deputy COO
PT Muliaglass

25/3/2021