

PO Box 3026 Manuka, ACT 2603 Mobile: +61 499 056 729

Email: john@jbracic.com.au Web: www.jbracic.com.au

11 August 2017

Director Operations 2 Anti-Dumping Commission GPO Box 1632 Melbourne VIC 3001

Dumping investigation into alloy round steel bars exported from the Peoples Republic of China

Dear Director

This submission is made on behalf of Donhad Pty Ltd (Donhad) in response to the recent submission by OneSteel Manufacturing Pty Ltd (OneSteel) dated 13th July 2017.

Injury caused by other factors

Donhad does not intend repeating its previous statements with regards to the non-compliance and testing issues that it encountered prior to and during the investigation period, and which it contends are the primary reason for its decision to seek alternative supply of grinding bar from imports. Sufficient evidence has already been provided to the Commission which clearly demonstrates and explains the OneSteel product specific issues experienced by Donhad.

Donhad does however wish to address the inaccurate assertion made in OneSteel's submission that the 'switch in export supply appears to have been driven by the US\$ /t price reduction offered by Suxin compared to the next nearest competitor.' The switch referred to by OneSteel is from supply by the Chinese exporters Dongbei Special Steel Group Co. Ltd (Dongbei) and Shijiazhuang Iron and Steel Co. Ltd (Shijiazhuang), to Suzhou Suxin (Suxin).

As has already been explained and demonstrated to the	Commission,
. Therefore, it was	which were the sole
reason for Donhad's decision to switch supply to alternative exporters, and not as OneSteel	
suggests, one related to pricing. [Confidential views on grade requirements].	

PUBLIC VERSION

Donhad attaches supplementary evidence at confidential attachment A, to support its decision to

switch supply .	
Australian supply of alloy round bar	
OneSteel also explains in its submission that in order 'to provide a susta offer to Donhad, a reciprocal commitment from Donhad to source commercial is required. Small volume orders placed infrequently will naturally cost more thus affect the price to Donhad.'	lly viable volumes from OneSteel
This issue is at the heart of the value proposition discussed and explain during its verification visit and subsequent submissions. That is, the repurchased volumes from OneSteel is solely the result of performance as As such,	eduction in Donhad's
. [Confidential contract negotiations and marke	t impacts].
Given this particular circumstance and the general market dynamics for Australia, OneSteel's stipulation proposition	presents a poor value
. [Confidential contract negotiations and man	ikei mipacisj.
Donhad's ongoing purchases of local production	

Finally, OneSteel appears to have presented evidence to the Commission which it considers demonstrates that Donhad have continued to seek supply of grinding bar in a range of sizes and grades. Donhad expects that this information is consistent with its previously submitted sales information and correspondence, which shows that Donhad continues to purchase grinding bar products from OneSteel which are not related to the quality and performance issues outlined.

PUBLIC VERSION

Further, as emphasised to the Commission on numerous occasions, it is Donhad's primary	
preference to procure and source its grinding bar requirements locally from OneSteel, as local	
supply allows for more flexible management of its inventory levels and other associated benefits.	
For this reason, Donhad	
i) ; and	
ii)	
[Confidential contract negotiations and market impacts].	
Only by resolving these items will Donhad be in a position to resume purchasing greater volumes	
of grinding bar from OneSteel, which in turn will address any perceived injury.	
Yours sincerely	
John Bracic	