HOWARD CONSULTING PTY LTD

ABN 79 126 290 444

PUBLIC RECORD VERSION

May 12, 2012

Dear Mr Gleeson.

Mr Geoffrey Gleeson
Director
International Trade Remedies Branch
Australian Customs & Border Protection Service
Canberra ACT 2600

SEF No 177 Re: A/C SDN BHD Malaysia Following the publication of PAD No. 177 prepared to offer a Price Undertaking for your consideration in accordance with s269TEB(1). is submitting this offer on the basis that its future exports of relevant goods will not cause or threaten any material injury to the applicant, Onesteel-ATM or to the Australian industry. One of the demonstrable outcomes of this investigation has been the clear identification of a specific sub set of goods not produced by the applicant or by the other two local producers, Orrcon and ITM, namely goods included in the GUC with a wall thickness of or greater.(> is the only known producer - exporter in this investigation with the capability of producing and supplying goods having a wall thickness greater. Onesteel-ATM externally source the relevant goods having a wall thickness of or greater resulting having to directly compete with Onesteel-ATM's imports of those goods from Maruichi of Japan, being goods not included in the GUC. We respectfully submit that s269TEA (2) and s269TEA (3)(b) are of relevance for reason that Alpine's exports wall thickness do not directly compete with any goods produced by any of the three local producers. Supporting this offer is the following summary of exports during the I.P.:-PUBLIC RECORD VERSION

Email: mjh@howardconsulting.com.au

Page | 2

PUBLIC RECORD VERSION ALPINE PIPE MANUFACTURING

Table No 1

Total exports by

		Val	ues	1		
Importer	Tonnes	Export Sales	Normal Value	Dumping	%	
Combined						

Table No 2

Exports of goods
Directly Competing Goods:
Total exports by

		Va	lues]		
Importer	Tonnes	Export Sales	Normal Value	Dumping	%	
Combined						

Table No 3

Exports of goods
Non Competing Goods:
Total exports by

			Values]				
Importer	То	nnes	Export Sales		Normal Value	Di	Dumping		%	
Combined				Г						

PUBLIC RECORD VERSION
Email: mjh@howardconsulting.com.au

PUBLIC
FILE
123

Page | 3

PUBLIC RECORD VERSION ALPINE PIPE MANUFACTURING

The Price Undertaking is prepared to offer is based on Table No 3, in that it will apply to those goods having a wall thickness Australian Customs & Border Protection (ACBP) has of course previously determined separate Normal Values being sub sets within the very GUC of this investigation being on the basis of grade, finish and end type. Previous ACBP reports refer to this practice. More importantly however, the goods are proposing be included in a Price Undertaking, whilst technically dumped, are simply non injurious to Onesteel-ATM and in our opinion the CEO of ACBP has the necessary scope and delegation to recommend the acceptance of this offer. Table No 2 demonstrates that those exports that competed directly with Onesteel-ATM, being the volume of exports, are not dumped. exports of the GUC having a wall thickness less than effectively in competition with those exports from Thailand which enjoy a 4% exports as being less than wall thickness although most other exporters in this investigation, including the Thai exporters, essentially produce and export GUC up to wall thickness. The explanation for exports of plus wall thickness exports being technically dumped is that on its home market commands a price premium since it is the only Malaysian producer with the capability to produce these goods of plus. On the Australian market however has to compete with imports by Onesteel-ATM from Japan's Maruichi as previously mentioned. Based on Table No 3 the price undertaking offer for those goods having a wall thickness of greater is per metric tonne, FOB Cash. If these goods were dumped, meaning they caused material injury to Onesteel-ATM. then the margin would be \(\bigwidth{\pi}_{\pi} \). As demonstrated by Table No 2, exports of the GUC less than wall thickness had a negligible dumping margin and we request ACBP to

> PUBLIC RECORD VERSION Email: mjh@howardconsulting.com.au

recognize this fact in its recommendation to the Minister.

Page | 4

PUBLIC RECORD VERSION ALPINE PIPE MANUFACTURING

still retains its right to appeal certain aspects of ACBP's determination on Normal Value calculations being those aspects previously expressed in response to the ACBP verification visit.

Please contact the writer for any clarification of this price undertaking offer.

Thank you for your consideration during this investigation of exports to Australia.

Sincerely,

M J Howard Representative

PUBLIC RECORD VERSION
Email: mjh@howardconsulting.com.au