Australian Government
Department of Industry, Science and Resources

Anti-Dumping Commission

ANTI-DUMPING NOTICE NO. 2026/130

Customs Act 1901

Customs (Preliminary Affirmative Determinations) Direction 2015

Steel flat bars

Exported to Australia from Taiwan

Investigation No 705 into alleged dumping

Day 60 Status Report

Introduction

This Status Report concerns the investigation into the alleged dumping of Steel flat bars exported to Australia from Taiwan.

The report reflects the status of the investigation at day 60.

This Status Report sets out the reasons why I, David Latina, the Commissioner of the Anti-Dumping Commission (the commission), have not made a preliminary affirmative determination (PAD) on day 60.

I note that I may make a PAD at any time from day 60 onwards if I am satisfied the requirements of section 269TD(1) of the *Customs Act* 1901 (Cth)[1] have been met.

Background

On 20 July 2026, I initiated an investigation into the alleged dumping of Steel flat bars after InfraBuild NSW Pty Ltd made an application under section 269TB. Further details can be found in the public notice published on 20 July 2026. Refer to Anti-Dumping Notice (ADN) 2026/096 at www.adcommission.gov.au.[2]

Under section 269TD(1), I may make a PAD at any time not earlier than 60 days after I initiate an investigation in relation to the publication of a dumping duty notice, if I am satisfied that:

- there appears to be sufficient grounds for the publication of such a notice, or
- it appears that there will be sufficient grounds for the publication of such a notice subsequent to the importation into Australia of such goods.

[1] All legislative references in this report are to the *Customs Act* 1901 (Cth) unless otherwise specified.

[2] Electronic public record (EPR) 705, Item No 3.

In accordance with the *Customs (Preliminary Affirmative Determinations) Direction 2015* (the Direction), 60 days after the initiation of such an investigation I must either make a PAD or provide a Status Report outlining the reasons why I have not made a PAD.

Reasons for publishing a Status Report

In deciding whether to make a PAD on day 60 of this investigation, I have, in accordance with section 269TD(2), had regard to:

- InfraBuild NSW Pty Ltd's application
- submissions received in response to the initiation of the investigation
- importer questionnaire responses received[3]

The commission invited exporters to complete an exporter questionnaire with respect to the investigation period. Responses to the exporter questionnaire were due on 26 August 2026 (day 37 of the investigation). The commission granted exporter questionnaire extensions to:

- T S Steel Co., Ltd
- Feng Hsin Steel Co., Ltd.

The commission received two exporter questionnaire responses, from T S Steel Co., Ltd on 9 September 2026 and from Feng Hsin Steel Co., Ltd on 16 September 2026. The commission is in the process of assessing these exporter questionnaires.

The commission is required to examine various issues in the investigation, including:

- export prices and normal values of the goods exported from Taiwan
- volumes of the goods exported from Taiwan
- the Australian market for the goods.

These issues are critical to determining whether there are sufficient grounds for the publication of a dumping duty notice. At this time, the commission is continuing to assess information provided by exporters, importers and the Australian industry.

Given this analysis remains ongoing, I am not yet in a position to be satisfied that the requirements of section 269TD(1) have been met. Accordingly, I have decided not to make a PAD at this time.

The commission will continue its investigation, and I will consider all relevant evidence in determining the proposed recommendation for the Statement of Essential Facts (SEF).

Other considerations

Relevant matters – section 269TD(2)(b)

In accordance with the Direction and for the purposes of section 269TD(2)(b), I have considered the desirability of providing relief to an injured Australian industry as quickly as possible, where warranted.

[3] Two entities provided complete responses to the importer questionnaire. Two other entities provided partial or incomplete responses to the importer questionnaire.

I have decided it is not yet warranted to make a PAD, at this particular time, because I am not yet satisfied that there appear to be sufficient grounds for the publication of a dumping duty notice for the reasons outlined above.

I will continue at assess the need for a PAD and may make one as soon as I am satisfied there appears to be sufficient grounds to do so on the basis of the evidence before me.

Reconsideration of making a PAD – section 269TDAA

The Direction requires me to reconsider whether or not to make a PAD at least once prior to the publication of SEF required under section 269TDAA.

I am due to publish the SEF on **07 May 2027.** Prior to the publication, or in the SEF, I will advise whether I made a PAD subsequent to this report and the reasons for decision.

Anti-Dumping Commission Contact

Enquiries about this report may be directed to the case manager at investigations@adcommission.gov.au.

David Latina,
Commissioner
Anti-Dumping Commission

18 September 2026