



Customs Tariff (Anti-Dumping) Act 1975

Certain flat rolled steel products

Exported from the People's Republic of China and the Republic of Korea

Notice pursuant to sections 8(5), 8(5B), 8(5BA), 8(5BAA), 8(5BAAA) and 8(5BB) of the *Customs Tariff (Anti-Dumping) Act 1975*

I, TIM AYRES, Minister for Industry and Innovation and Minister for Science (the Minister), have decided to issue a notice (the notice) pursuant to sections 269TG(1) and 269TG(2) of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act) in respect of certain flat rolled steel products (the goods described in that notice (the goods)) exported to Australia from the People's Republic of China (China) and the Republic of Korea (Korea).¹

Consequently, I **DETERMINE**, pursuant to section 8(5) of the *Customs Tariff (Anti-Dumping) Act 1975* (the Dumping Duty Act), that the interim dumping duty payable on the goods is an amount worked out in accordance with the *combination of fixed and variable duty method*, specified in sub-regulations 5(2) and 5(3) of the *Customs Tariff (Anti-Dumping) Regulation 2013*.

China

In relation to Baosteel Zhanjiang Iron and Steel Co., Ltd (Baosteel Zhanjiang) and Hunan Valin Lian Yuan Iron and Steel Co., Ltd (Hunan Valin) from China, pursuant to section 8(5BAA) of the Dumping Duty Act, I am not required to have regard to the desirability of fixing a lesser amount of duty. This is because the normal value of the goods for these exporters was not ascertained under section 269TAC(1) of the Act because of the operation of section 269TAC(2)(a)(ii) of the Act.

In relation to Baoshan Iron and Steel Co., Ltd (Baoshan) from China, pursuant to section 8(5BAAA) of the Dumping Duty Act, I am not required to have regard to the desirability of fixing a lesser amount of duty. This is because the normal value of the goods for this exporter was not ascertained under section 269TAC(1) of the Act because of the operation of section 269TAC(2)(a)(ii) of the Act.

In relation to all other exporters from China (except for Baosteel Zhanjiang, Baoshan and Hunan Valin), pursuant to section 8(5BA) of the Dumping Duty Act, I have had regard to the desirability of specifying a method, such that the sum of:

- (i) the export price of goods of that kind, as so ascertained or last ascertained; and
- (ii) the interim dumping duty payable on the goods; and

¹ Anti-Dumping Notice (ADN) No. 2026/103

- (iii) the interim countervailing duty payable under section 10 of the Dumping Duty Act on goods the subject of the notice under section 269TJ of the Act;

does not exceed the non-injurious price of goods of that kind as ascertained, or last ascertained, for the purpose of those notices. For all other exporters from China, these amounts do not exceed the non-injurious price. Therefore, a lesser amount of duty has not been applied.

Korea

For exporters from Korea (except for exports by POSCO), the non-injurious price of goods, as ascertained for the purposes of this notice, is not less than the normal value of goods as so ascertained.² Therefore, under section 8(5B), I am not required to have regard to the desirability of specifying a method such that the sum of:

- (i) the export price of goods of that kind as so ascertained; and
- (ii) the interim dumping duty payable on the goods,

does not exceed the non-injurious price of goods of that kind. Therefore, a lesser amount of duty has not been applied.

This notice applies to the goods and like goods entered for home consumption as per my declaration in ADN 2026/103 under section 269TG of the Act and to which section 8 of the Dumping Duty Act applies.

Dated this 24th day of September 2026
TIM AYRES
Minister for Industry and Innovation and Minister for Science

² The dumping investigation was terminated as it related to exports by POSCO (ADN 2026/102 refers). POSCO was not subject to the subsidy investigation.