



Application for an accelerated review of anti-dumping measures

Applications are taken to be lodged or received by the Commissioner when it is first received by a commission staff member doing duty in relation to applications.

Staff members are taken to be on duty receiving applications from 9:00am to 5:00pm (AEST or AEDST) on business days that are not an Australian Capital Territory public holiday, or during Annual Closedown.

See Page 5 for more details.

Applicants are encouraged to contact the Commission prior to lodgement if they wish to discuss their application or the process.

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 269ZE OF THE *CUSTOMS ACT 1901*
FOR AN ACCELERATED REVIEW OF ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES

In accordance with section 269ZE of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act)¹, I request that the Commissioner of the Anti-Dumping Commission conduct an accelerated review of a dumping duty notice and/or countervailing duty notice (the notice(s)) insofar as it affects this exporter².

NB: Only a new exporter is eligible to apply for an accelerated review. A new exporter means that, in relation to goods the subject of an application for a dumping duty notice or countervailing duty notice or like goods, an exporter who did not export such goods to Australia at any time during the investigation period in relation to the application (ss 269ZE(1) and 269T(1) refers).

DECLARATION

I believe that the information contained in this application:

- provides reasonable grounds for an accelerated review of the dumping or countervailing duty notice(s) in so far as it relates to the applicant; and
- is complete and correct.

Signature: 王一博

Name: Wang Yibo

Position: General Manager

Company: WENAN JINKAI BUILDING MATERIAL CO., LTD

Date: 2026.5.14



¹ All legislative references are to the *Customs Act 1901*.

Signature requirements

Where the application is made:

By a company - the application must be signed by a director, servant or agent acting with the authority of the corporate body.

By a joint venture - a director, employee, agent of each joint venturer must sign the application. Where a joint venturer is not a company, the principal of that joint venturer must sign the application form.

On behalf of a trust - a trustee of the trust must sign the application.

By a sole trader - the sole trader must sign the application.

In any other case - contact the Anti-Dumping Commission's (the commission's) client support section for advice.

NB: Where an application is made by an agent acting with authority on behalf of a company, joint venture, trust or sole trader, an authority to act letter must be provided with this application.

Assistance with the application

The commission provides a free-of-charge document checking service, available prior to formal lodgement, to assist applicants to ensure that their applications meet the documentary requirements, see "before you apply": <https://www.industry.gov.au/anti-dumping-commission/apply-anti-dumping-or-countervailing-duties-measures>

The commission has also published guidelines to assist applicants with the completion of this application: <https://www.industry.gov.au/anti-dumping-commission/how-importers-and-exporters-can-participate-anti-dumping-system>

The commission's client support section can also provide information about dumping and countervailing procedures and the information required by the application form. Contact the team on:

Phone: 13 28 46 or +61 2 6213 6000 (outside Australia)

Email: clientsupport@adcommission.gov.au

Further information is available from the commission's website at www.adcommission.gov.au.

Required information

1. Provide details of the current anti-dumping measure(s) the subject of this review application, including:
 - identify the notice(s) imposing measures that the applicant seeks an accelerated review of; and
 - a description of the goods to which the notice(s) relates.

Answer:

The current measures were imposed pursuant to Anti-Dumping Notice No. 2026/045 and 2026/046, published on May 4, 2026. The investigation period was July 1, 2023 to June 30, 2024.

The subject products are steel corner/finishing beading and angles, metallic coated, whether or not containing alloys, whether or not drilled, punched, perforated or expanded, of all angle types, of a base metal thickness up to and including 0.49 millimetres, of varying steel grades, with various leg profiles, at various widths and lengths. Steel corner beading is a specialised building material used in construction to reinforce and protect the corners of walls where they meet. The most common types of steel corner beading/angles include:

angled steel bead: used for regular internal joins where walls meet/intersect/connect with other walls and/or ceilings; and

angled steel bead: used for regular external joins where walls meet/intersect/connect with other walls and/or ceilings.

Plastic and stainless steel corner beading, and external render/texture beading is excluded from this application.

The tariff classification codes of goods are 7216.61.00; 7216.69.00; 7216.91.00; 7216.99.00; 7308.90.00.

2. Provide details of the name, street and postal address, of the applicant seeking the accelerated review;

Answer:

The company is named WENAN JINKAI BUILDING MATERIAL CO., LTD (hereinafter referred to as "Jinkai" or "the Company").

Street and postal address: Huangfu State Farm, Wen'an County, Langfang City, Hebei Province.

3. Provide details of the name of a contact person, including their position, telephone number and e-mail address;

Answer:

Name of contact person: Wang Yuxuan

Position: Executive Manager

Telephone number: [Commercially Sensitive Information: contact information]

E-mail address: [Commercially Sensitive Information: contact information]

4. Describe the applicant's role in the exportation of the goods (e.g. producer or manufacturer, distributor or trader of the goods);

Answer:

Jinkai is a manufacturer of the subject goods. Jinkai did not export GUC to Australia during the original investigation period.

After the period, Jinkai began to export the goods to Australia via the unrelated trader, GUANGZHOU AOSHI BUILDING MATERIALS CO., LTD("Aoshi").

5. Confirm that the applicant is a 'new exporter', meaning, in relation to goods the subject of an application for a dumping duty notice or countervailing duty notice or like goods, an exporter who did not export such goods to Australia at any time during the investigation period in relation to the application (ss 269ZE(1) and 269T(1) refers).

Answer:

Jinkai and its unrelated trader Aoshi had collaboratively submitted the exporter questionnaire responses during the original investigation. In notice No. 2026/045 and 2026/046, the Commission determined that Jinkai and Aoshi are not exporters of the investigation, because the "physical export dates" fell outside the investigation period.

Based on this, Jinkai confirms it did not export GUC to Australia at any time during the investigation period.

6. Confirm whether the applicant has previously applied for an accelerated review in relation to the notices the subject of this application.

Answer:

Jinkai has not previously applied for an accelerated review.

7. Confirm whether the applicant is related to an exporter whose exports were examined in relation to the application for publication of the notice(s), and the nature of the relationship (s 269ZE(2)(b) refers).

In determining whether the applicant is an associate of an exporter whose exports were examined in relation to the application for publication of the notices(s), answer the following (s 269TAA(4) refers):

- (a) Are both natural persons?

If yes:

- (i) Are they members of the same family? Or;
(ii) Is one of them an officer or director of a body corporate controlled, directly or indirectly, by the other?

- (b) Are both body corporates?

If yes:

- (i) Are both of them controlled by a third person (whether or not a body corporate)? Or;
(ii) Do both of them together control, directly or indirectly, a

third body corporate? Or;
(iii) Is the same person (whether or not a body corporate) in a position to cast, or control the casting of, 5% or more of the maximum number of votes that might be cast at a general meeting of each of them?

(c) Is one of them, being a body corporate, directly or indirectly, controlled by the other (whether or not a body corporate)?

(d) Is one of them, being a natural person, an employee, officer or director of the other (whether or not a body corporate)?

(e) Are they members of the same partnership?

NB: Please include appropriate evidence in support of your view that the applicant is or is not related to another company whose exports were examined in relation to the application for publication of the notice(s) (i.e. during the original investigation). This should include an overview of your corporate structure, including entities that the applicant has an interest in and entities that have an interest in the applicant, list of directors and annual report(s) where applicable.

Answer:

Jinkai confirms that it is not related to any Chinese producer or exporter of subject goods that were originally investigated.

During the original investigation period, Jinkai was held by two natural person shareholders: [Commercially Sensitive Information: shareholder's information of Jinkai], none of whom has invested in any other companies. Jinkai itself had no parent companies or subsidiaries, either.

We hereby provide a screenshot of the webpage of Qichacha, a mainstream enterprise information platform in China to demonstrate the shareholder's information of Jinkai. Please refer to [Exhibit 1 Shareholder Information Screenshot](#). [Commercially Sensitive Information: shareholder's information of Jinkai]

8. Provide a statement setting out the basis on which you consider the particular dumping or countervailing duty notice is inappropriate, so far as the applicant is concerned.

Impact of an all exporter review of measures

Where a review of measures applies to all exporters of the goods generally (that is, not a single exporter), the changes to the notice(s) that result from the review may apply to all relevant exporters of the goods, including past applicants for an accelerated review. This means that changes to a notice as an outcome of an all-exporter review of measures may replace an earlier published outcome of an accelerated review.

Answer:

As stated above, as the Commission found that Jinkai did not qualify as an exporter, Jinkai's questionnaire response was not accepted. The anti-dumping and countervailing duty rates were therefore determined by the

Commission on the basis of “all the facts available”, and not on the data submitted by Jinkai. Therefore, the dumping or countervailing duty notice is inappropriate for Jinkai.

Dumping Duty:

After the conclusion of the original investigation period, Jinkai exported subject goods to Australia by Aoshi and intends to continue such exports in the future. Jinkai’s export procedures are highly regulated and lawful, adhering to market pricing principles, with corresponding documentation available to substantiate each transaction stage. Jinkai hereby provides a recent set of full documentation for exports to Australia, including VAT invoice (from Jinkai to Aoshi), export commercial invoices and bills of lading (from Aoshi to Australia). Please refer to **Exhibit 2 Sample Documentation for Exports to Australia for specifics**. [Commercially Sensitive Information: Sample Documentation for Exports to Australia for specifics]

Jinkai notes that Chinese exporters were subject to anti-dumping duty of 27.8%. Jinkai wishes to emphasize that this range of duties does not reflect its actual circumstances, as Jinkai has not engaged in any form of dumping towards Australia.

Pursuant to Section 269TAC of Customs Act 1901 (hereinafter referred to as “the Act”), the calculation of the dumping margin shall be based on a proper comparison between the export price and the normal value. The section stipulates that normal value shall be the price ‘paid or payable for like goods sold in the ordinary course of trade for home consumption in the country of export in sales’. Where such price cannot be found or unsuitable, it may be substituted by ‘price paid or payable for like goods sold in the ordinary course of trade in arms length transactions for exportation from the country of export to a third country determined by the Minister to be an appropriate third country’, or ‘the cost of production or manufacture of the goods in the country of export’ plus ‘administrative, selling and general costs associated with the sale and the profit on that sale’.

Pursuant to the aforementioned provisions, Jinkai contends that the prices of the subject goods exported to Australia by Jinkai are neither below the sum of costs and reasonable profit, nor below domestic sales prices or export prices to other appropriate third countries. Regardless of the calculation method employed, Jinkai has not engaged in dumping in Australia. Should the Commission accept Jinkai’s application for review, the company welcomes an investigation into it and will provide truthful, accurate, complete, and verifiable data on costs, prices, expenses, and other relevant information to substantiate the aforementioned claims.

Countervailing Duty:

Jinkai did not receive any benefits under the subsidy programs identified in the original investigation, which include:

- 20 Hot rolled steel provided by government at less than fair market value
- 677-1 Galvanised steel provided by government at less than fair market value
- 13 Preferential Tax Policies in the Western Regions
- 14 Tariff and VAT Exemptions on Imported Materials and Equipment
- 29 Land Use Tax Deduction
- 35 Preferential Tax Policies for High and New Technology Enterprises
- 36 Local Tax Bureau Refund
- 37 Return of Farmland Use Tax
- 38 Return of Land Transfer Fee
- 39 Return of Land Transfer Fee From Shiyou
- 2 One-time Awards to Enterprises Whose Products Qualify for 'Well-Known Trademarks of China' and 'Famous Brands of China'
- 5 Matching Funds for International Market Development for Small and Medium Enterprises
- 6 Superstar Enterprise Grant
- 7 Research & Development (R&D) Assistance Grant
- 8 Patent Award of Guangdong Province
- 15 Innovative Experimental Enterprise Grant
- 16 Special Support Fund for Non State-Owned Enterprises
- 17 Venture Investment Fund of Hi-Tech Industry
- 18 Grants for Encouraging the Establishment of Headquarters and Regional Headquarters with Foreign Investment
- 19 Grant for key enterprises in equipment manufacturing industry of Zhongshan
- 21 Water Conservancy Fund Deduction
- 22 Wuxing District Freight Assistance
- 27 Huzhou City Quality Award
- 28 Huzhou Industry Enterprise Transformation & Upgrade Development Fund
- 30 Wuxing District Public Listing Grant
- 31 Anti-dumping Respondent Assistance
- 32 Technology Project Assistance
- 34 Balidian Town Public Listing Award
- 40 Dining lampblack governance subsidy of Jinghai County Environmental Protection Bureau
- 41 Discount interest fund for technological innovation
- 42 Energy conservation and emission reduction special fund project in 2015
- 43 Enterprise famous brand reward of Fengnan Finance Bureau

PUBLIC RECORD

- 44 Government subsidy for construction
- 45 Infrastructure Construction Costs Of Road In Front Of No.5 Factory
- 46 New Type Entrepreneur Cultivation Engineering Training Fee Of Jinghai County Science And Technology Commission
- 47 Subsidy for Coal-Fired Boiler of Fengnan Subtreasury
- 48 Subsidy for Coal-Fired Boiler Rectification
- 49 Subsidy for District Level Technological Project
- 50 Subsidy For Pollution Control Of Fengnan Environmental Protection Bureau
- 51 Subsidy from Science and Technology Bureau of Jinghai County
- 52 Subsidy of Environment Bureau transferred from Shiyou
- 53 Supporting fund for exhibition from Hongqiao District Commerce Commission
- 54 Government subsidy for job stability
- 55 Commercial Committee Support Fund
- 56 Tianjin Municipal Bureau of Commerce July 2018-December 2018
- 57 Aiding fees for cases of technology information collection
- 58 Patent supporting fund from Science and Technology Bureau of Jinghai District 2019
- 60 Subsidy for patent from Science and Technology Bureau Fengnan District, Tangshan City
- 61 Subsidy for Energy collection from the Tangshan Quality and Technology Supervision Bureau
- 62 Award to the Patent Innovation from Science and Technology Bureau Fengnan District
- 64 Awards to technology innovation from Bureau of Industry and Information Technology Fengnan District
- 65 Awards to "Well-Known Trademarks" from Hebei Province Market Supervision administration Bureau
- 66 Grant for Technology ERP
- 590-1 Hebei Province Quality Awards
- 611-30 Equity injection
- 611-31 Environmental Protection Grant
- 611-32 High and New Technology Enterprise Grant
- 611-33 Independent Innovation and High-Tech Industrialisation Program
- 611-34 VAT refund on domestic sales by local authority
- 611-35 Environmental Prize
- 611-36 Jinzhou District Research and Development Assistance Program
- 611-37 Enterprise support fund

Jinkai has not received any benefits under the subsidy programs listed above. Accordingly, the subsidy programs and countervailing level determined in the

original investigation are not applicable to Jinkai.

On this basis, Jinkai respectfully requests that the Commission accept this application of Accelerated Review and calculate the applicable IDD and ICD based on Jinkai's own data.

Lodgement of the application

This application, together with the supporting evidence, must be lodged in the manner approved by the Commissioner under subsection 269SMS(2) of the Act. The Commissioner has approved lodgement of this application by either:

- email, preferably, using the email address clientsupport@adcommission.gov.au;
- upload to SIGBOX (SIGBOX is our secure online lodgement platform, suitable for large files or attachments - email us to arrange access), or
- post to:

The Commissioner of the Anti-Dumping Commission
GPO Box 2013
Canberra ACT 2601

As currently applied:

- Applications are taken to be lodged or received by the Commissioner when it is first received by a commission staff member doing duty in relation to applications.
- Staff members are taken to be on duty receiving applications from 9:00am to 5:00pm (AEST or AEDST) on business days that are not an Australian Capital Territory public holiday, or during Annual Closedown.*

Definitions in this application:

- **AEST** means Australian Eastern Standard Time.
- **AEDST** means Australian Eastern Daylight Savings Time
- **business day** means a day that is not a Saturday or Sunday.
- **Annual Closedown** means the 3 business days the Commission is closed between Christmas Day and New Year's Day.

* Public holidays are listed at: <https://www.fairwork.gov.au/employment-conditions/public-holidays>

Public Record

There is no legislative requirement to maintain a public record for accelerated reviews. However, in the interests of transparency, a public record for an accelerated review will be opened and accessible on the commission's website at www.adcommission.gov.au. The public record will contain, among other things, a copy of the application, all submissions from interested parties and commission reports.

At the time of making the application, the commission requests both a confidential version (for official use only) and non-confidential version (public record) of the application be submitted. Please ensure each page of the

PUBLIC RECORD

application is clearly marked “FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY” or “PUBLIC RECORD”. The non-confidential application should enable a reasonable understanding of the substance of the information submitted in confidence, clearly showing the reasons for seeking the accelerated review, or, if those reasons cannot be summarised, a statement of reasons why summarisation is not possible. If you cannot provide a non-confidential version, contact the commission’s client support section for advice.