



J.BRACIC & ASSOCIATES
TRADE REMEDY ADVISORS

PO Box 3026
Manuka, ACT 2603
Mobile: +61 499 056 729
Email: john@jbracic.com.au
Web: www.jbracic.com.au

23 April 2023

The Director - Investigations 4
Anti-Dumping Commission
GPO Box 2013
Canberra ACT 2601

**Expiry review – Zinc coated (galvanised) steel exported by
Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd from Taiwan**

Dear Director,

This submission is made on behalf of Prosperity Tieh Enterprise Co., Ltd. (“Prosperity”) to the expiry review of measures (Case 611) applying to exports of zinc coated (galvanised) steel (“galvanised steel”) exported from Taiwan.

Prosperity fully supports the Anti-Dumping Commission’s (“Commission”) findings that the expiration of the measures as it relates to Prosperity, would **not** lead, or would **not** be likely to lead, to a continuation of, or a recurrence of, the material injury that the anti-dumping measure are intended to prevent.

No evidence of likelihood of dumping

The Commissioner is required to recommend expiry of the measures, unless there is positive evidence to demonstrate that the recurrence of dumping in the future is likely or probable (ie. implying a greater degree of certainty that the event will occur than a finding that the event is not “not likely”).

The Commission has correctly determined that Prosperity’s future exports are not likely to be dumped and not likely to cause material injury. This is supported by the following facts.

- Prosperity was not an exporter of the subject goods during the original investigation which led to the imposition of the measures. As it was not investigated at that time, there is no link between Prosperity’s exports and the original material injury experienced by the Australian industry;
- Prosperity only commenced exporting commercial quantities in [REDACTED]. Therefore, Prosperity has **never** previously exported the subject goods to Australia at dumped prices;

PUBLIC VERSION

- The Commission confirmed that Prosperity's exports during the period of investigation were non-dumped, reflecting a negative margin of -7.4%; and
- There is no evidence which would support a view that Prosperity would depart from its export pricing behaviour and begin to export at dumped prices in the future.

Therefore, irrespective of any arguments presented by the local manufacturer which may impact the Commission's material injury analysis contained in SEF 611, the evidence confirms that Prosperity have never exported at dumped prices. As such, any actual or prospective material injury to the Australian industry cannot be linked to Prosperity's exports. This is confirmed and supported by the facts set out in SEF 611.

Yours sincerely

John Bracic