

Public Record

FILE NOTE

Investigation into certain crystalline silicon photovoltaic modules or panels (PV modules or panels (the goods)) exported to Australia from the People's Republic of China (China)

Record of Teleconference with True Value Solar

Date: 10 February 2015

Teleconference

Attendees:

True Value Solar

Mr Moin Ul-Haq – Senior Business Advisor

Consultant - PricewaterhouseCoopers

Mr Bill Cole – Director

Ms Alice Barclay – Senior Consultant

Mr Paul Cornick - Senior Manager

Anti-Dumping Commission

Ms Joanne Reid – Case Director

Mr Sanjay Sharma – Case Manager

Ms Chris Kunaratnam – Senior Investigator

Background

PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) acting on behalf of True Value Solar Pty Ltd (TVS), requested a meeting with the Anti-Dumping Commission (the Commission) to discuss its submission of 7 January 2015. TVS's submission is available on the Commission's website at www.adcommission.gov.au.

Update on the investigation

The Commission advised that all four selected exporters are cooperating with this investigation. All selected exporters have been visited and the visit reports are expected to be finalised and published on the Commission's website shortly.

The due date for publication of the Statement of Essential Facts is 5 March 2015 unless further extension is sought and granted by the Parliamentary Secretary.

TVS submission

TVS stated that its product mix had changed from the investigation period to the present market with demand for mono-crystalline PV modules reducing significantly in favour of poly-crystalline PV modules. TVS also raised its concern regarding the 'weighted average methodology' to calculate a single dumping margin. TVS suggested that the Commission should calculate the dumping margin by product (i.e. separate dumping margin for poly-crystalline modules and for mono-crystalline PV modules).

The Commission advised that under the legislation, it was compelled to utilise one overall margin for all exports. The Commission also advised that the review mechanisms were available to TVS after 12 months from the date anti-dumping

Public Record

measures are imposed. The Commission also advised TVS of the availability of duty assessments.

TVS inquired as to whether the Commission would take into account autonomous factors when determining whether dumping caused material injury to the Australian industry.

The Commission advised that it was aware of the other issues as set out in many submissions and assured TVS that the Commission would consider all factors when determining material injury and causation.

TVS enquired if Tindo Manufacturing Limited (Tindo) met requirements under the legislation to be qualified as an Australian Industry.

The Commission clarified that this issue was considered when determining whether or not to initiate the investigation. The Commissioner formed a view that Tindo met the definition of an Australian industry. The Commission advised TVS that this issue will not be re-examined in the SEF.