



Anti-Dumping Commission
Level 35 / 55 Collins Street
Melbourne VIC 3000
Australia

Legal Representative
Guangdong Nanfang Aluminium Co., Ltd
Lidong Hengshan County Guangning
Guangdong China

via email:

**REVIEW OF MEASURES NO. 392
ALUMINIUM EXTRUSIONS FROM CHINA**

Dear

On 23 March 2017, I initiated a review of anti-dumping measures in relation to aluminium extrusions from the People's Republic of China.

On 1 May 2017, Guangdong Nanfang Aluminium Co., Ltd. (Nanfang) submitted a residual exporter questionnaire response to the Anti-Dumping Commission (the Commission). Nanfang's residual exporter questionnaire response included a declaration that, if selected by the Commission for further examination, it would consent to fully cooperating with the Commission's inquiries by completing a full exporter questionnaire and that it would agree to a verification visit by Commission officers.

On 3 May 2017, the Commission wrote to Nanfang requesting that it complete a full exporter questionnaire and associated spreadsheets by 2 June 2017. On 10 May 2017 and 22 May 2017, the Commission wrote to Nanfang to arrange a verification visit. A Commission officer also spoke to you as Nanfang's contact on 16 May 2017. Despite the Commission's attempts, Nanfang has not confirmed its availability for a verification visit.

I also note that the period in which Nanfang was requested to submit a full exporter questionnaire and associated spreadsheets has now expired. Nanfang has failed, within the legislated period, to provide a response or request a longer period to provide a response. As a result, Nanfang has not given me information that I consider to be relevant to the review within a period that I consider to be reasonable.

In accordance with sections 8 and 9 of the *Customs (Extensions of Time and Non-cooperation) Direction 2015*, for the purposes of this review:

- Nanfang will be considered an uncooperative exporter pursuant to subsection 269T(1) of the *Customs Act 1901* (the Act); and
- Nanfang will be considered a non-cooperative entity pursuant to section 269TAACA of the Act.

Accordingly, in relation to dumping, I will work out Nanfang's export price under subsection 269TAB(3) of the Act and I will work out Nanfang's normal value under subsection 269TAC(6) of the Act.¹

Likewise, in relation to countervailing, I will act on the basis of all the facts available and make such assumptions as I consider reasonable in determining whether a countervailable subsidy has been received by Nanfang and the amount of countervailable subsidy received.²

If you would like to discuss any of the matters raised in this letter, please contact the case manager on (03) 8539 2437 or email operations3@adcommission.gov.au.

Yours sincerely



Dale Seymour
Commissioner
Anti-Dumping Commission

7 June 2017

¹ Subsection 269TACAB(1) of the Act.

² Subsection 269TAACA(1) of the Act.