



Australian Government
Department of Industry,
Innovation and Science

Anti-Dumping
Commission

**REVIEW OF ANTI-DUMPING MEASURES APPLYING TO
DEEP DRAWN STAINLESS STEEL SINKS EXPORTED TO
AUSTRALIA FROM THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
FILE NOTE – MEETING WITH MILENA AUSTRALIA PTY LTD**

Background

Milena Australia Pty Ltd (Milena) sought a meeting to discuss several issues and concerns in relation to the review of anti-dumping measures (case 352) and the statement of essential facts published on 5 September 2016. One representative from Milena and two representatives from the Anti-Dumping Commission were present.

Date: Thursday 22 September 2016

Location: Anti-Dumping Commission
Level 35/55 Collins Street, Melbourne, Victoria.

Matters raised by Milena

- Milena claimed that only one model it imported is comparable to an Australian industry product and this model could be considered a 'like good.' However, the Australian industry does not produce any equivalent goods to the other models imported by Milena and the laundry tubs produced by the Australian industry cannot be considered like products to lipped laundry tubs.
- MEPS does not consent to the Australian Government using its price data. The historic MEPS data indicated the Asian price was approximately 15% lower at the start of 2015 but by late 2015 the US price was lower and by early 2016 the European price was lower.
- Milena claimed it has supporting documentation indicating the price its Chinese supplier has paid for stainless steel is fair and a 'normal value'. In addition Milena claimed that:
 - this is the price paid by Australian companies using stainless steel and is more representative than data based on European and US values; and
 - based on this price, and including a reasonable allowance for cost, insurance and freight (CIF) and mark up, there is no claimed variance;
- Milena claimed the key issue regarding countervailing is that its Chinese supplier makes small profits and therefore pays less tax.

PUBLIC RECORD

- Milena raised other issues detailed in a submission provided to the Commission, including :
 - the availability of allegedly subsidised Chinese stainless steel raw material on the Australian market and;
 - consideration of the national interests of Australia when imposing anti-dumping measures; and
 - a potential application for an exemption to the anti-dumping measures.¹

¹ Refer to Milena's submission on the electronic public record at item no. 009